UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,070	01/18/2006	Syoji Shirai	IPA010	3915
32628 7590 10/30/2008 KANESAKA BERNER AND PARTNERS LLP 1700 DIAGONAL RD			EXAMINER	
			SUTTON, ANDREW W	
SUITE 310 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-2848			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3765	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/30/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/565,070	SHIRAI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ANDREW W. SUTTON	3765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ju</u>	lv 2008.					
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	· <del></del>					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-19</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 November 2006</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application for a list of the priority documents</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>1) Notice of References Cited (P10-892)</li> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	o) 🔲 Ouier					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The applicant claims the top end of the visor being inside the hat body without extending inwardly. The applicant clearly shows in Fig. 2 of the drawings the top portion at 12a extending inwardly. It is unclear to the examiner what the applicant is trying to claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanizawa (JP2001-003220) in view of Grilliot (US 5,090,054). Tanizawa teaches a hat

body having a top portion H having a rear end 1, a front eave portion h extending from the top portion and having a lower end, a back portion 1 extending from the top portion H with the upper portion 1f of the back portion 1 being located inside the rear end of the top portion with a space between to form a hole 1e. Tanizawa further teaches a visor portion h having a front end outside the body and a top end, just below 1c location, that is inside the hat body with an upper surface extending toward the top end, the top end being laterally disposed away from the lower end and vertically above the lower end at 2a to form a vent hole. The device further includes the top and front eave portion having a smooth inner surface to allow air to smoothly pass from the front air hole to the rear. Tanizawa does not teach the upper surface of the visor (just below 1c) being curved. Grilliot teaches a helmet with a vent 40 and a curved inner surface at 4. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Tanizawa with that of Grilliot to provide a curved inner surface to provide a smooth passage for air to travel.

Page 3

As to claim 2, the hat H has sides and at the lower end of the sides is an eave portion with the eave and the upper surface of the visor h forming an opening at 2b.

As to claim 3, the opposing position 2c is located at the back of the peak of the hat.

As to claims 14, the applicant states no criticality or unexpected results to the width of the hole being the same as the width of the visor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the hole of Tanizawa to provide it being the same width as the visor through routine experimentation.

As to claim 15, the air hole 2b has a width less than the visor h.

As to claim 16, the inner plate 1 has an opening 1a at the center with air passages 2d formed between the peak of the hat body and the inner plate is in communication with the air hole located at the at the visor portion 2a.

As to claim 17, the front end of the inner plate 1 is attached to the top of the visor h and the rear end of the inner plate is connected to the upper portion of the back portion.

As to claim 18, the opening 1a has a weir 1j at the periphery of the opening.

As to claim 19, the hat H and visor h are formed integrally as claimed.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/565,070 Page 5

Art Unit: 3765

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANDREW W. SUTTON whose telephone number is (571)272-6093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary L. Welch can be reached on (571) 272-4996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AWS 27 October 2008

/Gary L. Welch/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3765